1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	DENINIC EL ODED	CACE NO. C11 5047 DID
11	DENNIS FLORER,	CASE NO. C11-5047 RJB
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	V.	
14	KENNEY, L.L. FIGUEROA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable	
17	Karen L. Strombom, United States Magistrate Judge, dated June 20, 2011 (Dkt. 72), and	
18	Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and Recommendation, dated June 28, 2011 (Dkt. 74). The	
19	Court has considered the Report and Recommendation, Plaintiff's objections, and the remaining	
20	record, and hereby adopts the Amended Report and Recommendation for the reasons stated	
21	herein.	
22	The Magistrate Judge recommends that Plaintiff's motion for immediate injunctive relief	
23	for medical care evaluation be denied. The Magistrate Judge found that Plaintiff had failed to	
24	demonstrate that irreparable injury is likely in the absence of injunctive relief. Plaintiff did not	

establish that a failure to treat his condition could lead to further injury or the unnecessary and 2 wanton infliction of pain. Plaintiff also failed to show some likelihood that the medical care 3 provided was constitutionally inadequate. Plaintiff's objections contend that the Magistrate Judge ignored or discredited the record 4 5 evidence. The Court is not persuaded by Plaintiff's argument. While Plaintiff may be 6 dissatisfied with the refusal to order an MRI, he has failed to submit any evidence that the decisions made by Defendants were medically unsound, let alone a manifestation of deliberate 7 8 indifference to his medical needs. Differences in judgment between an inmate and prison medical personnel regarding appropriate medical diagnosis and treatment are not enough to establish a deliberate indifference claim. See Sanchez v. Vild, 891 F.2d 240, 242 (9th Cir. 1989); 10 11 Broughton v. Cutter Lab., 622 F.2d 458, 460 (9th Cir. 1980). 12 The Court, having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Karen 13 L. Strombom, objections to the Report and Recommendation, and the remaining record, does 14 hereby find and ORDER: 15 The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation; (1) 16 (2) Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (Dkt. 52) is **DENIED**; 17 (3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, counsel for 18 Defendants, and to the Hon. Karen L. Strombom. 19 Dated this 18th day of July, 2011. 20 21 ROBERT J. BRYAN 22 United States District Judge 23

24